

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/017,375	12/14/2001	Harinath Garudadri	010331	010331 8079	
23696 7.	590 08/08/2006		EXAMINER		
QUALCOMM INCORPORATED 5775 MOREHOUSE DR.			OPSASNICK, MICHAEL N		
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2626		
			DATE MAILED: 08/08/2006	DATE MAILED: 08/08/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commons	10/017,375	GARUDADRI ET AL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael N. Opsasnick	2626			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 July 2006.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 October 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/22/2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Kay et al</u> (5703881) in view of Pickering et al (6738457).

As per claims 1,2,5,6, <u>Kay et al (5703881)</u> teaches:

"A subscriber unit, comprising:" as a multi-subscriber unit (Fig. 1, subblock 22);

Art Unit: 2626

"a microphone....user" as voice activity detector (col. 2 liens 50-53);

"a feature extraction module configured to extract a plurality of features of a speech signal" as speech data in PCM format (col. 7 lines 5-6);

"a voice activity detection module configured to detect voice activity within the speech signal and provides an indication of the detected voice activity" as voice activity detector (VAD – 56) detects voice/speech (col. 7 lines 5-10);

"a transmitter coupled to the feature extraction module and the voice activity detection module and configured to transmit the indication of detected voice activity ahead of the plurality of features" as first reporting the voice activity to the MSU controller, then based on that activity, the MSU controller calls for the compressed speech data, based on the type of voice activity detected (col. 7 line 30-36).

<u>Kay et al (5703881)</u> does not explicitly teach transmission of the parameters to a speech recognition device (<u>Kay et al (5703881)</u> teaches wireless transmission, but not to a speech recognition device). <u>Pickering et al (6738457)</u> teaches the transfer of parameters to a recognition device (col. 6 line 64 – col. 7 line 27). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of speech signal processing to modify the system as taught by <u>Kay et al (5703881)</u> with transmission to a recognition device located elsewhere because that particular system may have better processing capabilities (col. 7 lines 20-21).

As per claim 2, Kay et al (5703881) teaches:

"A subscriber unit, comprising" as a multi-subscriber unit (Fig. 1, subblock 22);

Art Unit: 2626

"means for receiving a speech signal from a user" as voice activity detector (col. 2 liens 50-55);

"means for extracting a plurality of features of a speech signal" as speech data in PCM format (col. 7 lines 5-6);

"means for detecting voice activity with the speech signal and providing an indication of the detected voice activity" as voice activity detector (VAD – 56) detects voice/speech (col. 7 lines 5-10);

"a transmitter coupled to the feature extraction means and the voice activity detection means and configured to transmit the indication of detected voice activity ahead of the plurality of features" as first reporting the voice activity to the MSU controller, then based on that activity, the MSU controller calls for the compressed speech data, based on the type of voice activity detected (col. 7 line 30-36).

As per claims 3,4, Kay et al (5703881) teaches:

"further comprising a means for combining the plurality of features with the indication of detected voice activity, wherein the indication of detected voice activity is ahead of the plurality of features" as an embodiment of the speech VAD, speech extraction/compression functions are combined together (col. 7 lines 9-12; Fig. 6a).

As per claim 5, Kay et al (5703881) teaches:

"A method of transmitting speech activity, comprising:" as transmitting of speech information (col. 6 line 65 – col. 7 line 4);

Art Unit: 2626

"receiving a speech signal from a user at a subscriber unit" as receiving voice activity (col. 2 liens 50-55);

"extracting a plurality of features of a speech signal" as speech data in PCM format (col. 7 lines 5-6);

"detecting voice activity within the speech signal and providing an indication of the detected voice activity, and" as voice activity detector (VAD – 56) detects voice/speech (col. 7 lines 5-10);

"transmitting the indication of detected voice activity ahead of the plurality of features" as first reporting the voice activity to the MSU controller, then based on that activity, the MSU controller calls for the compressed speech data, based on the type of voice activity detected (col. 7 line 30-36).

As per claim 6, Kay et al (5703881) teaches:

"A method of transmitting speech activity, comprising:" as transmitting of speech information (col. 6 line 65 - col. 7 line 4);

"receiving a speech signal from a user at a subscriber unit" as receiving voice activity (col. 2 lines 50-55).

"extracting a plurality of features of a speech signal" as speech data in PCM format (col. 7 lines 5-6);

"detecting voice activity with the speech signal and providing an indication of the detected voice activity; and" as voice activity detector (VAD – 56) detects voice/speech (col. 7 lines 5-10);

Application/Control Number: 10/017,375 Page 6

Art Unit: 2626

"combining the plurality of features with an indication of the detected voice activity, thereby creating a combined indication of detected voice activity and features, wherein the indication of detected voice activity is ahead of the plurality of features." as first reporting the voice activity to the MSU controller, then based on that activity, the MSU controller calls for the compressed speech data, based on the type of voice activity detected (col. 7 line 30-36), and an embodiment of the speech VAD, speech extraction/compression functions are combined together (col. 7 lines 9-12; Fig. 6a).

Response to Arguments

4. Examiner notes the use of the Kay reference to address the new claim limitations. Furthermore, examiner notes that the claims scope pertaining to a distributed voice/speech recognition system has no patentable weight since the language is in the preamble, and not in the body of the claim (See In re Hirao, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and Kropa v. Robie, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951). Lastly, examiner notes other references pointing to distributed speech systems.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please see related art listed on the PTO-892 form.

Art Unit: 2626

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Opsasnick, telephone number (571)272-7623,

who is available Tuesday-Thursday, 9am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Richemond Dorvil, can be reached at (571)272-7602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mno 8/6/06

Michael N. Opsasnick

Examiner Art Unit 2626